

(ANTI)PETER PRINCIPLE - DISCRETE (INVERSE) LOGISTIC EQUATION WITH IMPRECISELY ESTIMATED AND STIMULATED CARRYING CAPACITY

Vladan Panković*, Miodrag Krmar*, Rade Glavatović[#]

*Department of Physics, Faculty of Sciences, 21000 Novi Sad,
Trg Dositeja Obradovića 4. , Serbia, vdpan@neobee.net

[#] Military-Medical Academy, 11000 Belgrade, Crnotravska 17, Serbia

Abstract

In this work we consider the Peter principle and anti-Peter principle as the discrete logistic and discrete inverse logistic equation. Especially we discuss imprecisely estimated (by hierarchical control mechanism) carrying capacity, i.e. boundary (in)competence level of a hierarchy member. It implies that Peter principle holds two sub-principles. In the first one objective boundary competence level is increased for estimation error. In the second one objective boundary competence level is decreased for estimation error. Similarly, anti-Peter principle holds two sub-principles too. All this implies that paradoxical situations that follow from Peter and anti-Peter principle can be simply removed by decrease of the error of hierarchical (social) control. Also we discuss cases by Peter principle when error of the boundary competence level by estimation grows up. (Then, in fact, there is no estimation error but stimulation of the boundary level by control mechanism.) By first Peter sub-principle it implies anarchy in the social structure or, correspondingly, cancer in the biology and medicine, schizophrenia in the psychology and inflation in the economy. By second Peter sub-principle it implies a totalitarian social structure (dictature or caste regime) or multiplex sclerosis and other autoimmune diseases in biology and medicine, servile mentality or low value complex in psychology and depression by hyperactive political influences in economy. Finally, monotonous changes of the stimulated part of boundary level cause corresponding phase transitions discussed on the example of the introspection in the psychology.

As it is well-known remarkable Peter principle [1] states, seemingly paradoxically, that in the hierarchical social structure any competent member tends to rise to his level of incompetence beyond its boundary competence level. We observed that form of the time evolution of member competence level obtained by different numerical models [2] is very similar to sigmoid form of the solution of well-known logistic (Verhulst or Maltusian) equation in the population dynamics. For this reason, in our previous work [3], we suggested that Peter principle, in the first, rough, approximation, corresponds to logistic equation with time increasing population. In this case

member competence level corresponds approximately to population, while boundary competence level corresponds approximately to carrying capacity. It implies that here incompetence level is approximately equivalent to boundary competence level which points out on the limited accuracy of given approximation. We supposed, without the proof, that a strict differentiation between incompetence level and boundary competence level can be done in a better approximation corresponding by change of the logistic by discrete logistic equation. Simultaneously we suggest anti-Peter principle that states that in the hierarchical structure any incompetent member tends to rise to his level of competence beyond his boundary incompetence level. It, in a rough approximation that does not differ boundary incompetence level and competence level, can be described by inverse logistic equation. It is supposed too, without the proof, that a strict differentiation between competence level and boundary incompetence level can be done in a better approximation corresponding by change of the inverse logistic by discrete inverse logistic equation.

In this work we shall generalize mentioned our previous results on the Peter principle and anti-Peter principle. Firstly, we shall explicitly present discrete logistic and discrete anti-logistic equation and discuss their solutions. Secondly, it will be shown that a strict differentiation between incompetence level and boundary competence level in the discrete logistic equation as well as a strict differentiation between competence level and boundary incompetence level needs an additional argument. This argument represents impreciseness (existence of a non-zero absolute error) of the estimation (obtained by hierarchical (social) control mechanism) of the carrying capacity, i.e. boundary competence and boundary incompetence level of the member. It implies that Peter principle holds, in fact, two sub-principle. First one corresponds to situation where objective (accurate) competent member competence level is increased for estimation absolute error. In this case competent member can occupy level over its objective boundary competence level, i.e. given competent member becomes satisfied but incompetent, in full agreement with original formulation of the Peter principle. Second one corresponds to situation where objective (accurate) competent member boundary competence level is decreased for estimation absolute error. In this case competent member can occupy only level under its objective boundary competence level, so that given member stands competent but unsatisfied. Similarly anti-Peter principle holds, in fact, two sub-principle too. All this implies that paradoxical situations that follow from Peter and anti-Peter principle can be simply removed by increase of the accuracy (decrease of the absolute error) of the hierarchical (social) control mechanism at any competence or incompetence level. Also we shall discuss cases by Peter principle when error of the estimation grows up. (Then, in fact, there is no estimation error but stimulation of the boundary level by control mechanism.) By first Peter sub-principle it implies anarchy in the social structure or, correspondingly, cancer in the biology and medicine, schizophrenia in the psychology and inflation in the economy. By second Peter sub-principle it implies a cast splitting in the social structure or, correspondingly, sclerosis and dementia in the biology and medicine, servile mentality in the psychology and depression in the economy. Finally, monotonous changes of the stimulated part of boundary level cause corresponding phase transitions discussed on the example of the introspection in the psychology.

Thus, as it is well-known logistic (Verhulst or Maltusian) equation in the population dynamics has form

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = ax(1 - \frac{x}{r}) \quad \text{for } a, r > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \leq r \quad (1)$$

where t represents the time moment, x - (human or some other species) population, a - growth parameter and r carrying capacity. Simple solution of this equation, representing a sigmoid func-

tion, is

$$x = x_0 r \exp[at] \frac{1}{r - x_0 + x_0 \exp[at]} \quad (2)$$

where x_0 represents the initial population smaller than r . Obviously, when t tends toward infinity x tends toward r and $\frac{dx}{dt}$ toward zero. Given logistic dynamics describes population growth limited by negative species self-interaction.

It is well known too that there is inverse (anti) logistic equation (logistic equation for population decrease) corresponding to (1)

$$\frac{dx}{dt} = -ax\left(\frac{x}{r} - 1\right) \quad \text{for } a, r > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad x \geq r \quad (3)$$

where $-a$ represents the decrease parameter. It holds simple solution

$$x = x_0 r \frac{1}{x_0 - (x_0 - r) \exp[at]} \quad (4)$$

where x_0 represents the initial population smaller than r . Obviously, when t tends toward infinity x tends toward r and $\frac{dx}{dt}$ toward zero. Given inverse logistic dynamics describes population decrease limited by positive species self-interaction.

Finally, it is well-known that both, logistic and inverse logistic, equations have significant application not only in population dynamics, i.e. in the biology and demography, but also in the chemistry, mathematical psychology, economics and sociology.

It can be added that application of the logistic and inverse logistic equation needs implicitly that population is sufficiently large, i.e. effectively continuous. Then change of the population for few members can be considered as the infinitesimal, i.e. differential.

But, population can be not so large, i.e. it cannot be considered as the continuous but as a discrete variable. Then logistic and inverse logistic equation can be presented in the following discrete, i.e. finite difference forms with population change as a finite difference

$$\frac{\Delta x_n}{\Delta t} = ax_{n-1}\left(1 - \frac{x_{n-1}}{r}\right) \quad \text{for } a, r > 0; \quad x_n = x_{n-1} + \Delta x_n \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (5)$$

$$\frac{\Delta x_n}{\Delta t} = -ax_{n-1}\left(\frac{x_{n-1}}{r} - 1\right) \quad \text{for } a, r > 0; \quad x_n = x_{n-1} + \Delta x_n \geq r \quad \text{and} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (6)$$

Solutions of (5), (6), as it is not hard to see, can be presented in the following inductive forms

$$x_n = x_{n-1} + (a\Delta t)x_{n-1}\left(1 - \frac{x_{n-1}}{r}\right) \geq x_{n-1} \quad \text{for } a, r > 0; \quad x_{n-1} \leq r \quad \text{and} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (7)$$

$$x_n = x_{n-1} - (a\Delta t)x_{n-1}\left(\frac{x_{n-1}}{r} - 1\right) \leq x_{n-1} \quad \text{for } a, r > 0; \quad x_{n-1} \geq r \quad \text{and} \quad n = 1, 2, \dots \quad (8)$$

Here is very important to be pointed out that, for fixed r , limits $x_n \leq r$ in (7) and $x_n \geq r$ in (8) forbid appearance of the chaos in given cases.

For $\frac{x_{n-1}}{r} \ll 1$, i.e. for low population levels, (7) turns out in

$$x_n = (1 + (a\Delta t))x_{n-1} \quad \text{for } a, r > 0; \quad x_{n-1} \ll r \quad \text{and} \quad n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (9)$$

corresponding to an increasing geometrical progression, i.e., intuitively speaking, a pyramidal or hierarchical structure.

But, for $\frac{x_{n-1}}{r} \leq 1$, i.e. for high population levels, (7) turns out in

$$x_n \geq x_{n-1} \quad \text{for } a, r > 0; \quad x_{n-1} \geq r \quad \text{and } n \gg 1 \quad (10)$$

corresponding to a series with almost equivalent values of the members, i.e., intuitively speaking, to a plateau or saturation structure.

For $\frac{x_{n-1}}{r} \gg 1$, i.e. for high population levels, (8) turns out in

$$x_n = (1 - (a\Delta t))x_{n-1} \quad \text{for } a, r > 0; \quad x_{n-1} \ll r \quad \text{and } n = 2, 3, \dots \quad (11)$$

corresponding to a decreasing geometrical progression, i.e., intuitively speaking, an inverse pyramidal or hierarchical structure.

But, for $\frac{x_{n-1}}{r} \geq 1$, i.e. for small population levels, (7) turns out in

$$x_n \leq x_{n-1} \quad \text{for } a, r > 0; \quad x_{n-1} \geq r \quad \text{and } n \gg 1 \quad (12)$$

corresponding to a series with almost equivalent values of the members, i.e., intuitively speaking, to a plateau or saturation structure.

It is not hard to see that discussed characteristics of the solutions of discrete logistic and inverse logistic equations excellently correspond to characteristics of the discrete hierarchical social structure on which Peter or anti-Peter principle appears.

More precisely, it can be supposed that x_n in (5) or (7) represents the discrete competition level of a member of the hierarchical social structure.

Suppose now that r representing effective value of the boundary competence level in (5), (7) can be expressed in the following way

$$r = r_0 \pm \delta r \quad \text{for } r_0 > \delta r \quad (13)$$

Here r_0 represents the objective (absolute) value of the boundary competence independent of the estimation of the hierarchical (social) control mechanism, while δr represents the absolute error or inaccuracy of the boundary competence level estimation done by hierarchical (social) control mechanism.

For

$$r = r_0 + \delta r \quad (14)$$

objective boundary competence level is increased for estimation absolute error. In this case member can occupy level over his objective boundary competence level (when given member becomes satisfied but incompetent) in full agreement with original formulation of the Peter principle. It will be called the first Peter sub-principle.

For

$$r = r_0 - \delta r \quad (15)$$

objective boundary competence level is decreased for estimation absolute error. In this case member can occupy level under his objective boundary competence level (when given member stands competent but unsatisfied). It represents a new situation in respect to original formulation of the Peter principle. It will be called the second Peter sub-principle.

Analogously, i.e. symmetrically, suppose that r representing effective value of the boundary incompetence level in (6), (8) can be expressed in the following way

$$r = r_0 \pm \delta r \quad \text{for } r_0 > \delta r \quad (16)$$

Here r_0 represents the objective (absolute) value of the boundary incompetence independent of the estimation of the hierarchical (social) control mechanism, while δr represents the absolute error or inaccuracy of the boundary incompetence level estimation done by hierarchical (social) control mechanism.

For

$$r = r_0 + \delta r \quad (17)$$

objective boundary incompetence level is increased for estimation absolute error. In this case member can occupy level over his objective boundary incompetence level (when given member stands incompetent and satisfied. It represents a new situation in respect to original formulation of the anti-Peter principle.

For

$$r = r_0 - \delta r \quad (18)$$

objective boundary incompetence level is decreased for estimation absolute error. In this case member can occupy level under his objective boundary incompetence level (when given member becomes competent but unsatisfied). It is in full agreement with original formulation of the anti-Peter principle.

In this way it is shown that Peter and anti-Peter principle can be consistently formulated by discrete logistic and discrete inverse (anti) logistic equation with effective (objective changed by estimation error) boundary level of the competence or incompetence. It implies that paradoxical situations that follow from Peter and anti-Peter principle can be simply removed by increase of the accuracy (decrease of the absolute error) of the hierarchical (social) control mechanism.

Now, we shall discuss what happened when absolute error of the estimation δr grows up during time in the discrete logistic equation, i.e. by Peter principle (7).

Firstly we shall consider first Peter sub-principle, described by (7) and (14). Suppose that given absolute error grows up monotonously during time. For reason of the mathematical simplicity it can be considered that time interval of the competence level evolution can be divided in many sub-intervals. In any of given time sub-intervals discrete logistic equation with constant boundary competence level can be applied, but in the different time sub-intervals corresponding boundary competence levels will be different too. Thus, after some time interval absolute error and, according to (14), whole effective boundary competence level, can be many times larger than practically any competence level. Obtained discrete logistic equation becomes formally equivalent to (9) and describes an unlimitedly increasing geometrical progression. Meanwhile, there is a principal difference between obtained equation and (9). Namely, in obtained equation absolute error is dominant in respect to objective boundary competence level, while in (9) objective boundary competence level is dominant in respect to absolute error. In other word (9) describes a maximally functional and maximally self-controlable hierarchical structure. This structure holds correctly defined and strictly different discrete competence levels necessary for successful functioning. Also (9) describes optimal motion of any competent member up hierarchical (social) structure, according to his competence. Vice versa, obtained equation describes practically a totally anarchical (chaotical) social structure without any self-control. This singular social structure represents a chaotic mixture of all competence levels. It means that any member can occupy any position without any criterion. For this reason functioning of given singular structure is completely destroyed.

It is not hard to see that given unlimitedly expanding anarchy (chaos) in the social structure conceptually corresponds to cancer in the biology and medicine, schizophrenia in the psychology and inflation in the economy. In any of given domains, as it is well-known, decline of corresponding self-control and integrative mechanism implies catastrophic consequences.

Now, we shall consider second Peter sub-principle, described by (7) and (15). Like in the previous case, it will be supposed that absolute error, initially smaller than objective boundary competence level, grows up monotonously during time. Then, during time, effective boundary competence level, according to (15), becomes smaller and smaller, tending toward zero. In the time moment when effective boundary competence level becomes smaller than practically any competence level (7) turns out in the equation formally equivalent to (8). (Simply speaking, Peter principle becomes formally inverted in the anti-Peter principle.) Meanwhile, there is a principal difference between obtained equation and (8). Namely, obtained equation is consequence of the large absolute error and (15), while in (8) objective boundary competence level is dominant in respect to absolute error. In other words, (8) describes a maximally functional and maximally self-controlable hierarchical structure, with correctly defined and strictly different discrete competence levels. Also (8) describes optimal motion of any incompetent member down hierarchical (social) structure, according to his incompetence. Vice versa, obtained equation describes practically a totalitarian social structure (dictature or caste regime). This structure holds extremely separated competence levels (like in a caste system). It means that any competent member is far away under his objective competence level for reason of the hyperactive and destructive hierarchical self-control (pressure).

It is not hard to see that given totalitarian social structure (dictature or caste regime) conceptually corresponds to multiplex sclerosis and other autoimmune diseases in the biology and medicine, servile mentality or low value complex in the psychology and depression by hyperactive political influences in the economy.

Finally consider complete situation where control mechanism influence, i.e. stimulated boundary competence level changes monotonously during time. It corresponds to a phase transitions from one into other limit, from dictature to anarchy or vice versa. As it is not hard to see given transition can be demonstrated on the introspection phenomenon in the psychology. Metaphorically, introspection represents the sinking of the consciousness in the non-consciousness. More precisely, introspection (self-observation) represents, estimation of the irrational (that increases) by rational (that decreases) part of a human mind, or, increasing low integrated by decreasing high integrated functions of the brain neural system.

In conclusion we can shortly repeat and point out the following. Logistic or inverse logistic (in differential or finite difference form) equation represents one of the most general equation that describes simply (negative quadratically) self-controlled system in simple (linear) interaction with (positively or negatively) influenced environment. For this reason given equation holds a great domain of the application: in the biology, medicine, psychology, sociology and economy. Peter or anti-Peter principle (with two sub-principles) points out that logistic or inverse logistic equation holds implicitly a more general form within which boundary carrying capacity can be treated as a variable, but not as a constant parameter. This variation of the boundary carrying capacity represents result of the influence (positive or negative stimulation) realized by control mechanism. In this sense we can speak about objective boundary carrying capacity representing a constant independent of the control mechanism influence and stimulated part of the boundary carrying capacity representing a variable dependent of the control mechanism exclusively. When stimulated part of the boundary carrying capacity becomes smaller and smaller (when it can be simply treated as the control mechanism estimation error) paradoxical situations characteristic for Peter and anti-Peter principle disappear. In the limit of minimal value of stimulated part of boundary carrying capacity we obtain a maximally functional hierarchical structure (with optimally placed any member). In the opposite case, when stimulated part of the boundary carrying capacity becomes larger and

larger, paradoxical situations characteristic for Peter and anti-Peter principle becomes more and more expressed. Then, for positive stimulated part of the boundary carrying capacity, an anarchical situation (total destruction of the functional hierarchical structure) appears. (It corresponds to anarchy in the social structure, cancer in the biology and medicine, schizophrenia in the psychology and inflation in the economy.) Or, for negative stimulated part of the boundary carrying capacity, a sclerotic situation (with a total de-acceleration of the functioning of the hierarchical structure) appears. (It corresponds to a totalitarian social structure (totalitary or caste regime), multiplex sclerosis and other autoimmune diseases in the biology and medicine, servile mentality or low value complex in the psychology and depression by hyperactive political influences in the economy.) Finally, monotonous changes of the stimulated part of boundary carrying capacity cause corresponding phase transitions discussed on the example of the introspection in psychology.

References

- [1] L. J. Peter, R. Hul, *The Peter Principle: why things always go wrong* (William Morrow and Company, New York, 1969)
- [2] A. Pulchino, A. Rapisarda, C. Garofalo, *The Peter Principle Revisited: A Computational Study*, soc-ph/0907.0455 and references therein
- [3] V. Pankovic, *Peter and Anti-Peter Principle as the Discrete Logistic Equation*, gen-phys/0907.2509 v1